

Download Bryant V united Shortline

In Bryant, the law firm of Shields, Britton & Fraser (“SBF”) brought a direct action in Texas against Anchorage for fees allegedly incurred in representing an Anchorage insured. Relying on Bard, the Dallas court of appeals held that the liquidation order at issue in this case precluded SBF from bringing the Texas action, affording full faith and credit to the order. Bryant, 930 S.W.2d at 842-44. Jeanne Barnes BRYANT, as liquidator of Anchorage Fire & Casualty Insurance Company, Appellant, v. UNITED SHORTLINE INC. ASSURANCE SERVICES, N.A., Surety Bank, N.A. Shields, Britton & Fraser, Appellees. Important Paras. Finally, the Liquidator complains that there was no basis for the interpleader action. However, there is no record evidence showing that the trial court abused its discretion in ruling that the interpleader action was appropriate. Opinion for Bryant v. UNITED SHORTLINE INC. ASSUR. SERVICES, 972 S.W.2d 26 — Brought to you by Free Law Project, a non-profit dedicated to creating high quality open legal information.